

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RYNE SEETO,
 Plaintiff(s),

v.

NEVADA HIGHWAY PATROL, et al.,
 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:25-cv-00519-CDS-NJK

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff initiated this case without paying the required fee or filing an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Docket No. 1. On March 21, 2025, the Court ordered Plaintiff to pay the filing fee or file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* by April 21, 2025. Docket No. 3. The Court warned that “[f]ailure to comply will result in a recommendation to the District Judge that this case be dismissed.” *Id.* at 1. Notwithstanding that warning, Plaintiff did not comply.

Plaintiff’s refusal to comply with the Court’s order is an abusive litigation practice that has interfered with the Court’s ability to hear this case, delayed litigation, disrupted the Court’s timely management of its docket, wasted judicial resources, and threatened the integrity of the Court’s orders and the orderly administration of justice. Sanctions less drastic than dismissal are unavailable because Plaintiff has refused to comply with the order of this Court notwithstanding the warning that case-dispositive sanctions may be imposed.

Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

Dated: April 23, 2025


 Nancy J. Koppe
 United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE

This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation must file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely objection may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).